Chockie Group International, Inc.

FuturDirections for the Inspection of CASS

Summary Report

4th International Workshop on the Future Directions for the **Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping**

May 22-25, 2012 Seattle, WA

The Challenge Cast austenitic stainless steel (CASS) is used in the primary coolant piping system in pressurized water reactors (PWRs) in the United States, Japan, Sweden, France, and other countries. The attributes that make CASS a good candidate for the primary piping system significantly hamper the ability to effectively detect, locate, and size flaws within the material.

Although the service loads on PWR primary coolant piping are relatively low and even severely aged CASS is considered capable of tolerating major flaws, there is increasing pressure to continue to improve the inspection systems and to ensure the integrity of aging CASS piping systems.

In recent years there have been significant advances in CASS inspection techniques, critical flaw evaluation, transducer and signal processing development, and ASME Code actions. The workshop focussed on these developments and where work remains to be done.

he Previous Workshops

The first workshop was held in San Diego in 2006. The second and third workshops were held in Seattle in 2009 and 2011. These workshops resulted in the establishment of several important initiatives including critical flaw evaluation and international cooperative inspection research programs.



The 4th Workshop

The 4th International Workshop was held at the Bell Harbor Conference Center in Seattle, Washington, on May 24 - 25, 2012. The purpose was to bring together interested parties to review the current state-of-the-art in the inspection and analysis of CASS material and to identify opportunities for coordinated actions to manage aging CASS piping.

There were twenty-three participants from six countries:

- Finland
- Sweden
- France
- Canada
- Japan
- United States

They represented utilities, vendors, regulators, inspection companies, and research organizations.

TA7 orkshop Objectives

▼ The objectives for the 4th International Workshop were much the same as those of the previous workshops. Specifically, the objectives were to:

- Build upon the results of the previous workshops
- Review the current state-of-the-art in the inspection and critical flaw assessment of CASS piping
- Establish the foundations for international cooperative improvement initiatives

Workshop Agenda

The workshop was structured to:

• Inform the participants of recent CASS-

related activities and programs

• Facilitate open discussions concerning the direction of future research

As has been the situation at the past three workshops, the first session was devoted to a review of CASS inspection and analyses programs, flaw tolerance analysis, and recent advancements in inspection capabilities. This was to provide the group with a common understanding of the current status of CASSrelated inspection development activities and issues.

The subjects that were addressed during the first session included:

- Summary of CASS inspection developments
- Industry activities and research
- ASME Code actions
- Regulatory concerns

The second session of the workshop involved open discussions among the participants. Prior to the workshop the participants were presented with a list of proposed discussion topics. These are shown in the list below.



At the Zetec Sponsored Reception: Doug Kull (EPRI NDE Center) and Tim Griesbach (Structural Integrity Associates, Inc.)

D^{iscussion Topics}

Macrostructure Characterization

In-situ characterization

Critical Flaw Size

Influencing factors

Signal Processing

Criteria for signal evaluation

Sound Field Characterization & Modelling Inspectability Issues

• < 2-inch versus > 2-inch CASS material

Physical Constraints

- Surface condition issues
- · Accessibility issues

Vintage CASS Material

- Casting processes & variables
- Availability & reproductions

Flaw Fabrication Issues for Mock-ups

New Plant CASS Material

· Plant configuration issues

Inspection Strategies

- Inspections from outer & inner surfaces
- Inspection from ID/OD using UT & ET
- RT inspection strategies

The Presentations

Participants were requested to provide a brief overview of their recent CASS-related activities. They were made aware that the presentation information would be used as the basis for the Workshop discussions and would be disseminated along with a summary of the workshop discussions to workshop participants and to other organizations that wished to support the improvement of the inspection of CASS nuclear power plant piping. Only a few of the participants at this or any of the other three Workshops declined to provide such presentations due to concerns with the proprietary nature of their recent work.

Presentations at this Workshop were made by:

- EPRI NDE Center*
- Georges Bezdikian Consulting Co.
- US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- Zetec
- AREVA NP Uddcomb AB
- Trueflaw
- Imasonic SAS
- DEKRA Industrial
- Structural Integrity Associates
- Institute of Nuclear System Safety, Inc.*



Presentation by Georges Bezdikian (Georges Bezdikian Consulting Co.)

^{*} Mr. Swain from the EPRI NDE Center and Dr. Kurozumi from the Institute of Nuclear System Safety, Inc. (INSS) in Japan were not able to attend. However they provided presentation material on recent ASME XI CASS and CASS activities at INSS activities, respectively.

Copies of the presentation slides are available in the associated document entitled, *Summary Report Attachments*. The twelve technical presentations were:

- <u>Cast Stainless Steel Piping Update</u> Mark Dennis – EPRI (USA)
- <u>Aging Management, In-Service Inspection and Integrity Assessment for Fitness for Service</u> of CASS Components on Reactor Coolant Primary Circuit: Industrial and Strategy Aspects Georges Bezdikian – Georges Bezdikian Consulting Co. (France)
- <u>Regulatory Issues Related to the Examination of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel</u> Stephen Cumblidge – US Nuclear Regulatory Commission (USA)
- Zetec Activities Related to Inspection of CASS Components & Welds Guy Maes - Zetec (Canada)
- <u>AREVA NP Uddcomb AB Testblocks</u> Per Arne Bjurling – AREVA Uddcomb AB (Sweden)
- <u>More cracks in CASS</u> Iikka Virkkunen – Trueflaw (Finland)
- <u>IMASONIC CASS 2012</u> Gerard Fleury – Imasonic SAS (France)
- <u>DEKRA Industrial CASS Activities</u> Torbjörn Sjö and Peter Alzén – DEKRA Industiral (Sweden)
- <u>A Proposed Flaw Tolerance Approach for CASS Piping</u> Timothy J. Griesbach – Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. (USA)
- <u>Probabilistic Analysis of Reliability of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping</u> David Harris – Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. (USA)
- <u>Our Experience of Ultrasonic Inspection for CAST Stainless Steel</u>
 Yasuo Kurozumi Institute of Nuclear Safety Systems, Inc (Japan)
- <u>Cast Stainless Steel Inspection: An Overview of ASME Section XI Activity</u> Ronnie Swain – EPRI NDE Center (USA)

The Next Steps

At the end of the presentation and discussion sessions the group reviewed the list of proposed development activities that was produced at the Third Workshop in 2011. The participants at the current Workshop felt that these were still the relevant issues that needed

to be addressed. The issues were grouped into three categories: :

- Material Characteristics
- Flaw Characteristics
- Inspection Systems



Material Characteristics

- How does centrifugally versus statically cast processes affect UT?
- Is grain structure the main driver for UT permeability?
- How does chemical composition affect UT (CF8, CF8M, CF8A)?
- What are the differences between centrifugally and statically cast CASS material?
- What are the differences between new materials versus vintage materials?
- What are the surface condition requirements for weld inspection?
- What are the influencing casting parameters on the microstructure?
- What casting requirements should be established for new plants?
- Cleanliness of manufacturing process (population of internal voids)?
- What is the affect of thickness -- is < or > 2-inch wall thickness the correct cut-off?
- How to determine the sound field in CASS?
- What is the affect of material aging on UT permeability?

Flaw Characteristics

- What is the critical flaw size for each existing component type?
- What morphology or type of flaw are we trying to find?
- What is the affect of flaw orientation (axial versus circumferential versus off-axis)?



Discussions During the Break: David Harris (Structural Integrity) & Iikka Virkkunen (Trueflaw)

- How does delectability of base material flaws differ from flaw wholly contained in the weld material?
- What flaw manufacturing type is best for representing base material flaws and best for weld flaws or best for heat affected zone flaw?

Inspection System

- Probe characteristics needed (angles, resolution, aperture characteristics, number of elements, importance of skewing, element size, materials, mode of propagation)?
- What is the most effective scan approach for UT -- in combination with ET from ID?
- What are beam simulation requirements that should be used to develop a cast UT inspection system?
- What is important pertaining to wedge design/materials?

Futur Directions

A cknowledgments We wish to recognize the support and assistance of the following organizations in making the Workshop a success:

- Zetec for their encouragement and their sponsorship of the Friday evening reception
- The Bell Harbor Conference Center
- The Seattle Marriott Waterfront and the Edgewater Hotels (the Workshop's host hotels)

We also wish to thank the presenters and participants for a very informative and productive two days.

Workshop Participants

Junichi Akagawa Nuclear Engineering Ltd.(NEL) JAPAN jakagawa@neltd.co.jp

<u>Peter Alzén</u> DEKRA Industrial SWEDEN peter.alzen@dekra.com

<u>Georges Bezdikian</u> Georges Bezdikian Consulting Co. FRANCE georges.bezdikian@orange.fr

Per Arne Bjurling AREVA NP Uddcomb AB



Lunch Break

SWEDEN perarne.bjurling@areva.com

<u>Alan Chockie</u> Chockie Group International, Inc. USA chockie@chockiegroup.com

<u>George Connolly</u> EPRI USA gconnolly@epri.com

<u>Stephen Cumblidge</u> U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission USA stephen.cumblidge@gmail.com

Mark Dennis

EPRI NDE Center USA mdennis@epri.com

Gerard Fleury

Imasonic SAS FRANCE no@imasonic.com

<u>Giselle García Roldán</u> Swedish Radiation Safety Authority SWEDEN giselle.garciaroldan@ssm.se



<u>Timothy Griesbach</u> Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. USA tgriesbach@structint.com

<u>David Harris</u> Structural Integrity Associates, Inc. USA dharris@structint.com

<u>Ryosuke Katsumata</u> Nuclear Engineering Ltd.(NEL) JAPAN rkatsumata@neltd.co.jp

Doug Kull EPRI NDE Center USA dkull@epri.com

<u>Andre Lamarre</u> Olympus NDT CANADA andre.lamarre@olympusn

John Lareau WesDyne USA lareaujp@westinghouse.com

Robert MacLellan

Rolls-Royce USA ramaclellan@rbrooks.com

Guy Maes

Zetec CANADA gmaes@zetec.com

Hans Martinsen

Ringhals NP SWEDEN hans.martinsen@vattenfall.com Hajime Shohji Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry JAPAN shohji@criepi.denken.or.jp <u>Torbjörn Sjö</u> DEKRA Industrial SWEDEN torbjorn.sjo@dekra.com

<u>Iikka Virkkunen</u> Trueflaw

ruenaw FINLAND iikka@trueflaw.com

Keiji Watanabe

Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry JAPAN wkeiji@criepi.denken.or.jp

For additional information contact

Alan Chockie Chockie Group International, Inc. Phone: +1 (206) 367-1908 <u>chockie@chockiegroup.com</u>



Seattle, Washington

Summary Report - Workshop Attachments

4th International Workshop on the Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping

May 24 - 25, 2012 Seattle, WA

The Workshop

The two-day workshop was held at the Bell Harbor Conference Center in Seattle, Washington, on May 24 - 25, 2012. The purpose was to bring together interested parties to review the current state-of-the-art in the inspection and analysis of CASS material and to identify opportunities for coordinated actions to manage aging CASS piping.

The Presentations

As has been the case at the past Workshops, the participants provided a wealth of information in their presentations and during the group discussions. The presenters gave an overview of their recent and planned CASS-related activities. Copies of the presentation slides are included in the this Attachment.

Presentations were made by:

- EPRI
- Georges Bezdikian Consulting Co.
- US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
- Zetec
- AREVA NP Uddcomb AB
- Trueflaw
- Imasonic SAS
- Dekra Industrial
- Structural Integrity Associates

Additional presentation material was provided by EPRI and the Institute of Nuclear System Safety, Inc. (INSS)¹.

Cummary Report

 For a copy of the Summary Report please contact Alan Chockie Chockie Group International, Inc. Seattle, WA USA Phone: +1 (206) 367-1908 e-mail: chockie@chockiegroup.com

¹ Dr. Yasuo Kurozumi from INSS in Japan and Ronnie Swain from the EPRI NDE Center were not able to attend the workshop. However both provided presentation slides on their recent CASS activities.

4th International Workshop **Future Directions for the** Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping

Table of Contents

Click on the title to go to the presentation

1. Workshop Welcome: Agenda/Participants
2. Cast Stainless Steel Piping Update
3. Aging Management, In-Service Inspection and Integrity Assessment for Fitness for Service of Cass Components on Reactor Coolant Primary Circuit: Industrial and Strategy Aspects
4. Regulatory Issues Related to the Examination of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel
5. Zetec Activities Related to Inspection of CASS Components & Welds52 Guy Maes - Zetec
6. AREVA NP Uddcomb AB Testblocks
7. More cracks in CASS60 <i>Iikka Virkkunen – Trueflaw</i>
8. IMASONIC CASS 2012
9. DEKRA Industrial CASS Activities94 Torbjörn Sjö and Peter Alzén – DEKRA Industiral
10. A Proposed Flaw Tolerance Approach for CASS Piping
11. Probabilistic Analysis of Reliability of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping

- **12.** Our Experience of Ultrasonic Inspection for CAST Stainless Steel ¹..... 122 Yasuo Kurozumi – Institute of Nuclear Safety Systems, Inc.

¹ Dr. Kurozumi and Mr. Swain were not able to attend. However they provided presentation material on recent CASS activities at INSS and the ASME Section XI CASS activities, respectively.

Chockie Group International, Inc.

4th International Workshop

Future Directions for the

Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping





Thursday – May 24

1:30	Lunch			
2:30	Introductions & Review of Previous Workshop			
3:00	Presentations, including			
	EPRI CASS Activities			
	NRC - Regulatory Issues			
	EDF CASS Program			
4:00	Break (15 minutes)			
4:15	Presentations, including CASS Activities at:			
	Ringhals			
	AREVA NP Uddcomb			
	Trueflaw			
	Zetec			
5:15	Wrap-up Day 1			
6:30	Zetec Reception at the World Trade Center			
8:00	Dinner at the World Trade Center			

Friday – May 25 (at the World Trade Center)

8:00	Continental Breakfast at the World Trade Center				
9:00	Presentations, including CASS Activities at:				
	Imasonic				
	DEKRA Industrial				
	Structural Integrity				
10:30	Break (15 minutes)				
10:45	Group Discussions				
12:00	Lunch				
1:00	Group Discussions				
2:30	Break (15 minutes)				
2:45	Identify Future Actions / Initiatives				
3:30	Wrap-up - Workshop Conclusion				

Chockie Group International, Inc. 4th International CASS Workshop

Participants

First Name	Last Name	Organization	Country	email Address
Junichi	Akagawa	Nuclear Engineering Ltd.(NEL)	JAPAN	jakagawa@neltd.co.jp
Peter	Alzén	DEKRA Industrial	Sweden	peter.alzen@dekra.com
Georges	Bezdikian	GEORGES BEZDIKIAN Consulting Co.	FRANCE	georges.bezdikian@orange.fr
Per Arne	Bjurling	AREVA NP Uddcomb AB	SWEDEN	perarne.bjurling@areva.com
Alan	Chockie	Chockie Group International, Inc.	USA	chockie@chockiegroup.com
George	Connolly	EPRI	USA	gconnolly@epri.com
Stephen	Cumblidge	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission	USA	stephen.cumblidge@gmail.com
Mark	Dennis	EPRI	USA	mdennis@epri.com
Gerard	Fleury	Imasonic SAS	FRANCE	no@imasonic.com
Giselle	García Roldán	Swedish Radiation Safety Authority	SWEDEN	giselle.garciaroldan@ssm.se
Timothy	Griesbach	Structural Integrity Associates, Inc.	USA	tgriesbach@structint.com
David	Harris	Structural Integrity Associates, Inc.	USA	dharris@structint.com
Ryosuke	Katsumata	Nuclear Engineering Ltd.(NEL)	JAPAN	rkatsumata@neltd.co.jp
Doug	Kull	EPRI	USA	dkull@epri.com
Andre	Lamarre	Olympus NDT	Canada	andre.lamarre@olympusn
John	Lareau	WesDyne	US	lareaujp@westinghouse.com
Robert	MacLellan	Rolls-Royce	USA	ramaclellan@rbrooks.com
Guy	Maes	Zetec	CANADA	gmaes@zetec.com
Hans	Martinsen	Ringhals NP	SWEDEN	hans.martinsen@vattenfall.com
Hajime	Shohji	Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry	Japan	shohji@criepi.denken.or.jp
Torbjörn	Sjö	DEKRA Industrial	Sweden	torbjorn.sjo@dekra.com
Iikka	Virkkunen	Trueflaw	FINLAND	iikka@trueflaw.com
Keiji	Watanabe	Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry	JAPAN	wkeiji@criepi.denken.or.jp

































4th International Workshop

Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping





4th International Workshop

Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping









2D 500Khz Flex Array Scanning







22

© 2012 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.



























4th International Workshop

Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping







```
G B Consulting
```

CASS WORKSHOP May 23-24, 2012 - SEATTLE - USA

5/50










4th International Workshop















4th International Workshop









4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop

















4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop

Future Directions for the

Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping





Tests on aged elbows :	
-Three reduced scale (2/3)	
- and one scale 1	
G B Consulting	39/50

	Med	<mark>chanical ana</mark>	lysis	
Action	ns => Mech	anical analys	sis	
JUSTIFI	CATION OF	SEHAVIOU	R OF ALL EL	BOWS
Mechanica compor	l analyses to j nents in opéra *	ustify the aptitu ation in all cond 2nd category	ude to maintaiı ition of loading (level A)	n g
	*	3rd category 4th category	(level C)	
> All	transients in 2nd	different catego	pries	
• :	3rd Small Brea 4th LOCA	ak LOCA		
Def	fect (Reference	e defect or real o	lefect after ISI)	
Res toug	sults comparis ghness obtain	son toughness a led by Group A !	after computati Materials	on with
sulting				







of th	safety factors should be used with the following characteristic values the main parameters to insure the consistency of methodology :
o 1 o 5	6% fractile (mean minus one standard deviation) for toughness J0.2 and J Δa , % fractile (mean minus 1.65 standard deviation) for yield strength,
o 8	4% fractile (mean plus one standard deviation) for stress and flaw size.
Part duc crite	tial safety factors accepted by the Safety Authority on piping in the tile tearing regime are a little more conservative as follows; two levels o eria are defined for integrity studies:
for p	iping, if the results shows that the criteria
	• global analysis of tearing initiation and stability are verified, in this case the demonstration of integrity is demonstrated,
	• separate analyses of initiation and stability for piping,
	this second case and criteria is to demonstrate the stability of piping with the absence of crack initiation



4th International Workshop

₿	Fitness for service of elbows has been justified for 40 years and after 40yr – 60 years
₽\$>	Maintenance strategy with Gamma Radiography each 10 years → Standard gammagraphy inside elbow - Central position source Irridium 192 to characterise flaw inside elbow thickness and on radio film application of contrast measurement by optical density numerisation
₿	Large validation program has been done and will be completed by on-going actions
ĽL,	Complementary program
$\mathbf{\mathbf{\nabla}}$	
Ŷ	The second secon
Ŷ	 « boat samples » from elbows Æ Expertises from 5 elbows SGR since 1995
Ý	 * « boat samples » from elbows * Expertises from 5 elbows SGR since 1995 * and 4 elbows from SGR in 2000 GRAVELINES 4, and 2 elbows from SGR in 2004 TRICASTIN 4, and 1 elbow from SGR in 2007 CHINON B 1,

4th International Workshop

[1]	E. Contrast and al. 1004. "Aring of east stainlass stanls of the primary loop"
[1]	International Symposium of FONTEVRAUD III
[2]	C. Pokor, A. Hotellier, J. Bourgoin, P. Gever, M. Akamatsu, P. Ould, P. Thibaut, P. Cambefort, G. Bezdikian, 2003.
(-)	" Contribution de l'expertise des coudes déposés lors de RGV à la validation des méthodes de caractérisation et à la justification de la tenue en service des produits moulés
	Conférence SFEN Novembre 2003.
[3]	Y. Grandjean et al., 1998, "Vieillissement des produits moulés en acier austénoferritique du circuit primaire principal"
	International Symposium of FONTEVRAUD IV
[4]	JP. Massoud and al., 1999, "Thermal aging of PWR duplex stainless steel components – Development of a thermoelectrical technique as non destructive evaluation method of aging"
	7th International Conference on Nuclear Engineering, ICONE 7
[5]	JF. Coste, Y. Kawagushi, 2000, "Non-destructive monitoring of the thermal aging of cast duplex stainless steels using thermopower measurements
	8th International Conference on Nuclear Engineering, ICONE 8
[6]	S. Bonnet, J. Bourgoin, J. Champredonde, D. Guttmann, M. Guttmann, "Relationship between evolution of mechanical properties of various cast duplex stainless steels and metallurgical and aging parameters : outline of current EDF programmes"
	Materials Science and Technology, March 1990, Vol.6, pp221-229.
[7]	- NUCLEAR PWR 3-LOOP PLANTS REACTOR COOLANT CIRCUIT STRATEGIC EVALUATION FOR LIFE MANAGEMENT OF PRIMARY CIRCUIT COMPONENTS AND STEAM GENERATORS REPLACEMENTS
	G. Bezdikian at als EDF - ASME PVP Conference July 2007 San Antonio USA
[8	– STEAM GENERATOR AND HEAVY COMPONENTS REPLACEMENT STRATEGY IN FRENCH NPPs
	G. Bezdikian - IAEA KOREA National Workshop Steam Generator In Nuclear Power Plant, Busan June 10 -14, 2008
[9]	THERMAL AGEING OF STEELS FROM EXPERTISES AND UNDERSTANDING OF AGEING MECHANISMS TO A MAINTENANCE STRATEGY FOR OPERATING NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS
	G. BEZDIKIAN - P. OULD - EOSOPE International Conference - Paris France 2004

4th International Workshop Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping

Regulatory Issues Related to the Examination of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel

3rd International Workshop on the Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping May 24-25, 2012 Seattle, WA

- NUREG/CR 7122 "An Evaluation of Ultrasonic Phased Array Testing for Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Pressurizer Surge Line Piping Welds"
 - Used encoded phased array scans using 0.8 MHz to 2 MHz probes
 - thermal fatigue flaws ranging from 10-50% through-wall in NPS 12 schedule 160 piping were detectable
 - The flaws were accurately length and depth sized in an open examination

4th International Workshop Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping

- Long-time collaboration with PNNL and EPRI on LF TRL PA probe design
- Technical support to customers for design and practical implementation of PA probes and examination techniques for CASS components
- Software customization and support for advanced inspection techniques
- Participation to ASME TG on CASS
- Participation & sponsoring of CASS Workshops, ☺

4th International CASS Workshop, Seattle (WA), USA, 24-25 May 2012

May 24 – 25, 2012

4th International Workshop Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping

What's new since 2011? 2011 • First cracks produced to CASS • "Can be done" • Greater depth: up to 30 mm • More experience • More experience



CASS and thermal fatigue Austenite and ferrite have different thermal expansion Any temperature causes micro stresses between phases Increases susceptibility to thermal fatigue? Preliminary evidence shows: 20% lower temperature difference needed to make cracks increased susceptibility to secondary cracking

b. CF-8M stainless ste

TRUEFLAW





4th International Workshop

Puture Directions for the Sepection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping Conclusions • Trueflaw has • experience and • proven capacity to manufacture real cracks to CASS • TruefLaw

	for health, safety and audity			
tasonic - 2012	IMASONIC			
Ē	CASS 2012			







4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop









4th International Workshop

million () and () and ()	New develor	oments				
× 11 × 1					1000	
	Linear flexible transducers					
	>Real time me laws calculation	easuremer	nt of each	n active elemen	t for real time delay	
			- Ceuj			
	Aiready dev	eiopea de	signs			
20						
201	Freq. (Mhz)	Number of elements	Pitch (mm)	lotal operture (mm)		
Imasoni	1.5	24	1 7 x 22	41 x 77	000	
	2	24	1.4 x 17	34 x 17	Œ	
	2	32	1.4 x 17	45 x 17		
	4	24	0.9 X 9	22 X 9		
	AUTOM					







4th International Workshop Future Directions for the May 24 – 25, 2012





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop

May 24 – 25, 2012







4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop







4th International Workshop

May 24 – 25, 2012









4th International Workshop







4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop

May 24 – 25, 2012











4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop





4th International Workshop

May 24 - 25, 2012







4th International Workshop













4th International Workshop Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping

How Can we Characterize the Reliability of a Piping System?

I) Deterministic Fracture Mechanics Analysis

- All inputs defined as bounding (conservative values)
- Single calculation
- Final result in terms of safety margin or conservative remaining life value

2) Probabilistic Fracture Mechanics Analysis

- All inputs defined as probability (density) functions
- Multiple calculations sample from the density functions
- · Final results in terms of conditional probability of failure

CASS Piping - SLIDE 5

Structural Integrity Associates, Inc.*






4th International Workshop Future Directions for the

Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping Select Locations for Postulating Flaws in CASS Piping The susceptibility of CASS piping to thermal aging is determined as follows*: •For low-molybdenum content (0.5 wt% max.) steels, only statically cast steels with > 20% delta ferrite are potentially susceptible to thermal embrittlement •Static-cast low-molybdenum steels with \leq 20% ferrite and all centrifugal-cast lowmolybdenum steels are not susceptible •For high-molybdenum content (2.0 to 3.0 wt%) steels, static-cast steels with > 14% delta ferrite and centrifugal-cast steels with > 20% delta ferrite are potentially susceptible to thermal embrittlement •Static-cast high-molybdenum steels with \leq 14% delta ferrite and centrifugal-cast high-molybdenum steels with \leq 20% delta ferrite are <u>not</u> susceptible Note: CASS piping adjacent to dissimilar metal welds that have been mitigated by WOL may be excluded from this list if a flaw tolerance analysis has already been performed and it meets CC N-770-I and/or the latest revision of CC N-740 * From GALL Report (NUREG-1801, Rev. 1) XI.M12 - Thermal Aging Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steels Structural Integrity Associates, Inc.* CASS Piping - SLIDE 9



4th International Workshop Future Directions for the

Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping











Estimated stresses in cold leg piping:

- Range of membrane stress (axial)
 - Pm = 6-9 ksi

Structural Integrity Associates, Inc.®

- Range of thermal + dead weight bending stress
 Pb = 1.5 11 ksi
- Assume Pm = 8 ksi, and Pb = 10 ksi
- Stress Ratio = $(\sigma_m + \sigma_b)/\sigma_f = (8 + 10)/57.1$



CASS Piping - SLIDE 15



May 24 – 25, 2012

4th International Workshop

Future Directions for the Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping



		Ratio of Flaw Length to Pipe Circumference $\ell_f/\pi D$ [Note (3)]							
Stress Ratio	,	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.75 or Greater
			[Note	[Note	[Note	[Note	[Note	[Note	
≥ 0	.60 0	0.75	(4)]	(4)]	(4)]	(4)]	(4)]	(4)]	[Note (4)
0	.55 0	0.75	0.44	0.23	0.16	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.11
0	.50 0	0.75	0.75	0.44	0.31	0.25	0.23	0.21	0.21
0	.45 0	0.75	0.75	0.65	0.46	0.37	0.33	0.31	0.30
0	.40 0	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.59	0.48	0.42	0.39	0.38
0	.35 0	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.73	0.58	0.51	0.47	0.46
	.30 0	1.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.69	0.60	0.55	0.52
	.30 0).75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.54	0.52	0.50	0.48
(.25 (0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.68	0.63	0.59
0	0.20 0	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.70	0.65
(0.15 (0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.74
50).10 (0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75



































4th International Workshop Future Directions for the

Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping Material Scatter (tensile) • Data for aging parameter greater than 3 taken to be representative of fully saturated conditions • Following figure shows statistical distribution of ratio of aged to unaged flow stress Good fit to normal distribution observed Mean=1.189 Standard deviation = 0.071 0.98 cumulative probability 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 Structural Integrity Associates, Inc.* 1.2 ratio 1.25 PRS-12-020- SLIDE 17



4th International Workshop















- Loads
 - Not well characterized (plant-specific).
 - Develop examples for a specific (generic) load case
- Material Properties
 - More complete set of data may allow possible improved characterization of distributions of random variables.
 - More complete set of data would allow possible correlations between random variables to be explored.
- Lack of Data
 - Exchange agreement with NRC research and Omesh Chopra has been completed, but still waiting for EdF data

PRS-12-020- SLIDE 25

- Results are summarized in memo from Gary Stevens

Structural Integrity Associates, Inc.







May 24 – 25, 2012

Chockie Group International, Inc.





4th International Workshop

<text><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>









Our Experience of Ultrasonic Inspection for CAST Stainless Steel



May 10, 2012 Yasuo Kurozumi Institute of Nuclear Safety Systems, Inc.





Inspection of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel Piping









Our recent work : Developmen	nt of pitch & catch t	ype Phased array probe							
	Probe specification								
	Probe type	Pitch & catch phased array probe							
	Number of elements	32 × 1							
	Element size	40.0 × 1.88 (mm)							
	Element pitch	2.08 (mm)							
	Outside dimensions	L100 × W45 × H50 (mm)							
	Frequency	0.5MHz							
	Angle of refraction	44° (middle refraction angle)							
On the On									
Data sample PA probe (20%t fatigue crack) Refracted angle : 44°	Data sa Refract	mple TRL probe (20%t fatigue crack) ted angle : 44° Institute of Nuclear Safety Systems, Inc.							



4th International Workshop









Evolution of CASS Inspection

- 1970 Edition
 - Earliest ASME Section XI rules required volumetric inspection of Class 1 piping welds.
 - No distinction made in material type or fabrication method.
- Mid-1970's

© 2012 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

- More detailed rules in 1974 and 1977 Editions and Addenda
- Still no specific distinction made for material characteristics
- Industry was beginning to recognize challenges concerning ultrasonic inspection of CASS material

3



ASME Section XI Appendix VIII

- ASME formed Task Groups in early 1985
 - Appendix VII Training and Qualification
 - Appendix VIII Performance Demonstration
- 1989 Appendix VIII Published
 - Included a Supplement for each type of inspection to be performed
 - Supplement 9 Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel was "In Course of Preparation"
- 1990 1997

© 2012 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

- Performance Demonstration Initiative (PDI) was formed to implement the rules of Appendix VIII
- Initial emphasis was on reactor vessel components and wrought austenitic and ferritic piping welds

5







